

INTERNATIONAL FEDERATION OF FERTILITY SOCIETIES

Cryopreservation – Laboratory aspects IFFS Embryology Workshop TARTU, ESTONIA 21-23 September 2018



This presentation is made possible, in part, with the generous non-restricted educational grant support from our sponsor for this workshop:



Cryopreservation: the preservation of structurally intact living cells and tissues using very low temperatures

- Sperm and semen 1953Embryos 1983
 - Cleavage stage
 - Pronucleate stage
 - Blastocysts

• Oocytes – 2000s



TERNATIONAL FEDERATION OF FERTILITY SOCIETIES dération internationale des sociétés de fertilité deración internacional de sociedades de fertilidad

unu iffe rankaduction are









www.iffc.roproduction.org









www.iffe rankaduction ara



INTERNATIONAL FEDERATION OF FERTILITY SOCIETIES Fédération internationale des sociétés de fertilité Federación internacional de sociedades de fertilidad

www.iffe reproduction are





Methods for Embryo Cryopreservation





INTERNATIONAL FEDERATION OF FERTILITY SOCIETIES Fédération internationale des sociétés de fertilité Federación internacional de sociedades de fertilidad www.iffs-reproduction.org

Slow Freezing and Vitrification: The similarities

- Preserve cells at low temperature (-196°C)
- Prevent ice crystal formation dehydration
- Use cryoprotectants
 - Non-permeating dehydration by osmosis
 - Sucrose
 - Trehalose
 - Permeating replace water inside the cells
 - Propanediol (PROH)
 - Glycerol
 - DMSO



RNATIONAL FEDERATION OF FERTILITY SOCIETIES ération internationale des sociétés de fertilité eración internacional de sociedades de fertilidad

Slow freezing

- Uses programmed freeze machine
- Gradual reduction in temperature
- Gradual dehydration

www.iffe ranzaduction are

- Up to 20 embryos simultaneously
- Consistent for all 20 embryos
- Each freeze run takes ~1.5hrs





Vitrification

- No machine required
- Rapid reduction in temperature
- Solidification of cells to form glass-like state
- Vitrify individually (label individually)
- Technically challenging
- Inter-procedure (inter-embryo) variability
- ~15mins per embryo







To Slow Freeze or To Vitrify Embryos?





Comparison of Slow Freezing and Vitrification

Risks and costs



Risk	Slow Freezing	Vitrification
Cryoprotectant toxicity	+	+++
Osmotic stress	++	+++
Solution effect toxicity	+++	_
Intracellular ice formation	+++	_
Accidental thawing risk	-	++
Technology dependent	+++	-
Practitioner dependent	+	+++
Consumables cost	+	+++
Storage space	+	+++

Time costs	Slow Freezing	Vitrification
Dehydration	10-15 minutes	10-15 minutes
Straw loading	<2 minutes	<1 minute
Seeding	1 minute	_
Cooling to -196°C	1-2 hours	Instant
Place in storage tank	5 minutes	5 minutes
Warming	30 minutes	10-15 minutes
Multiple embryos simultaneously	YES	NO



Vitrification devices





INTERNATIONAL FEDERATION OF FERTILITY SOCIETIES Fédération internationale des sociétés de fertilité Federación internacional de sociedades de fertilidad

www.iffc.roproduction.org

Vitrification – technical challenges Placing the embryo on the holding device





INTERNATIONAL FEDERATION OF FERTILITY SOCIETIES Fédération internationale des sociétés de fertilité Federación internacional de sociedades de fertilidad

Vitrification – technical challenges Removal of excess vitrification solution





Vitrification – Technical challenges Removal of excess vitrification solution





To Slow Freeze or To Vitrify? Assessment of embryo survival





"Survival" incudes Re-expansion



Time zero



After up to 2 hours



Human Reproduction Update, Vol.23, No.2 pp. 139–155, 2017 Advanced Access publication on November 4, 2016 doi:10.1093/humupd/dmw038

human reproduction update

> Oocyte, embryo and blastocyst cryopreservation in ART: systematic review and meta-analysis comparing slow-freezing versus vitrification to produce evidence for the development of global guidance

Laura Rienzi^{1,*}, Clarisa Gracia², Roberta Maggiulli¹, Andrew R. LaBarbera³, Daniel J. Kaser⁴, Filippo M. Ubaldi¹, Sheryl Vanderpoel^{5,6}, and Catherine Racowsky⁴

WIDER IMPLICATIONS: Data from available RCTs suggest that vitrification/warming is superior to slow-freezing/thawing with regard to clinical outcomes (low quality of the evidence) and cryosurvival rates (moderate quality of the evidence) for oocytes, cleavage-stage embryos and blastocysts. The results were confirmed by cohort studies. The improvements obtained with the introduction of vitrification have several important clinical implications in ART. Based on this evidence, in particular regarding cryosurvival rates, laboratories that continue to use slow-freezing should consider transitioning to the use of vitrification for cryopreservation.



INTERNATIONAL FEDERATION OF FERTILITY SOCIETIES Fédération internationale des sociétés de fertilité Federación internacional de sociedades de fertilidad

Outcomes of Slow Freezing & Vitrification Blastocysts Cryopreserved Jan-June 2017





INTERNATIONAL FEDERATION OF FERTILITY SOCIETIES Fédération internationale des sociétés de fertilité Federación internacional de sociedades de fertilidad

To Slow Freeze or To Vitrify Embryos?



PLANER Kyo 360-1.7



INTERNATIONAL FEDERATION OF FERTILITY SOCIETIES Fédération internationale des sociétés de fertilité Federación internacional de sociedades de fertilidad

To Slow Freeze or To Vitrify Embryos?

- Clinical outcomes are similar
- Each method has advantages and disadvantages
- Method of choice must be based on abilities and facilities available to provide the best service for patients
- Assessment of embryo survival is an intermediate measure
- The true measure of success is the birth of a healthy child



INTERNATIONAL FEDERATION OF FERTILITY SOCIETIES Fédération internationale des sociétés de fertilité Federación internacional de sociedades de fertilidad www.iffs-reproduction.org



2019 WORLD CONGRESS

Transforming the Frontiers of Human Reproduction





